Receipt Number 556234

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN DETROIT DIVISION

GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, Plaintiff, vs.) Case: 2:07-cv-11596) Case: 2:07-cv-11596) Assigned To: Battani, Marianne O Referral Judge: Morgan, Virginia M) Filed: 04-09-2007 At 09:21 AM) CMP: DUNLAP V. WISCONSIN CENTRAL LT D ET AL
WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD. Defendants.	Trial by Jury Demanded Trial by Jury Demanded Demanded Demanded

COMPLAINT AT LAW

NOW COME the Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, by and through his attorneys, CRAIG W. CHURCH and HOEY & FARINA, and for his Complaint against the Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC, and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., and states as follows:

- Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under the provisions of Title 45 U. S. Code sec.
 and Title 28 U. S. Code sec. 1331.
- 2. This action arises under, and the rights and liabilities of the parties to this cause are governed by, the Federal Employer's Liability Act, 45 U.S.C. sec. 51 et seq.

- 3. At all pertinent times, Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., were and are railroad corporations doing business in the State of Michigan.
- 4. On July 27, 2004, at about 8:30 a.m. and at all pertinent times, Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., owned and operated in interstate commerce a railroad which carried passengers and freight.
- 5. On July 27, 2004, at about 8:30 a.m. and at all pertinent times, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, was employed by the Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., as a conductor.
- 6. At all pertinent times, Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., managed, maintained, and used as a portion of its railroad systems, a section of track in the area of Victory Way Road in Newberry, Michigan.

- 7. On July 27, 2004, at about 8:30 a.m. and at all pertinent times, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, was performing work for Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., in connection with or in furtherance of Defendants' business of interstate commerce and transportation.
- 8. In the course of his duties on July 27, 2004, and at all pertinent times, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, was required to work as a conductor on Defendants' local train #L54281-27 riding the point of car BFPX 1015.
- 9. On July 27, 2004, and at all pertinent times, Defendants' local train #L54281-27, which contained Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, was traveling northbound approaching Victory Way Road, in Newberry, Michigan.
- 10. At that time, when Defendants' train #L54281-27cntered the Victory Way Road grade crossing, the lead car on which Plaintiff was riding the point was violently impacted by a vehicle traveling east on Victory Way Road.
- 11. As the result of the violent head-on collision between the eastbound car on Victory Way and Defendant's train #L54281-27 on July 27, 2004, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, sustained severe injuries to his right leg which required amputation.
- 12. On July 27, 2004, and at all pertinent times, it was the continuing duty of the Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL

RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., at the time and place in question, to provide Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, with a reasonably safe place to work; to provide reasonably safe conditions in which to work; to provide adequate instruction, training, rules and procedures, to provide reasonably safe equipment; and an absolute duty to comply with the regulations promulgated by the Federal Railroad Administration.

- 13. In violation of its duties, Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., by and through its employees and agents, negligently and carelessly failed to provide Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, with a safe place to work by committing one or more of the following negligent acts or omissions:
 - (a). Failed to alert motorists when entering a public grade crossing by blowing the whistle;
 - (b). Failed to adequately train and instruct its employees;
 - (c). Failed to develop adequate methods and procedures for protecting moves through public grade crossings;
 - (d). Failed to develop adequate rules and procedures for protecting moves through public grade crossings;
 - (e). Failed to follow industry custom and practice;
 - (f). Failed to follow and enforce its rules and procedures;
 - (g). Failed to provide warning to motorists;
 - (h). Failed to adequately protect the move across the grade crossing;
 - (i). Otherwise failed to provide Plaintiff with a reasonably safe place to work.
- 14. Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, with a safe place to work by one or more of the aforementioned negligent acts or omissions caused, in whole or in part, Plaintiff's injuries.

15. As a consequence, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, incurred injuries which have caused and will continue to cause him great pain, suffering, inconvenience, anguish and disability; as a further result, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, has been and will in the future be kept from attending to his ordinary affairs and duties, and has lost and will lose great gains which he otherwise would have made and acquired; as a further result, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, has incurred medical, hospital and related expenses and is reasonably certain to incur further medical, hospital and related expenses in the future.

16. Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, demands trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD., WISCONSIN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY d/b/a CN-IC and CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY, a Railroad Corporation d/b/a WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD., in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional minimum.

Craig W/Church

HOEY & FARINA 542 South Dearborn Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60605 (312) 939-1212

2:07-cv & 1596-MOBVERCSHIE ETech DAMOR/OTich Pros Octobra Pros Poly 10 6

of cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as y local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose ring the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS	(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF TH	INFFENDANTS		o via statemat DD
GEORGE T. DUNLAP, IV		Co., IL Central Railraoc Corp d/b/a Wisconsin C		orp., Canadian Nadonal RR onal RR Co., a Railroad
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff DELTA	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant	
(EXC	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Address, and Telephone Number)	D ET AL	ni, Marianne O gan, Virginia M t 09:21 AM VISCONSIN CENTRAL	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Select One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PR	INCIPAL PARTIES (S	elect One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State		PTF DEF ncipal Place □ 4 □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Hem III) 	Citizen of Another State	2	Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a G	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Select One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment **Foforcement of Indement**	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 770 Other Personal ☐ 385 Property Damae ☐ 385 Property Damae ☐ 385 Property Damae	G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 G30 Liquer Laws G40 R.R. & Truck G50 Airline Regs. G60 Occupational Safety/Health G60 Other LABOR	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 BIA (13950) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Tille XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS:—Third Party 26 USC 7609	d00 State Reapportionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Costomer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes Appeal to District
1 Original D 2 F	Removed from State Court Appellate Court Remainded From Appellate R	People will specify another the specific specifi	sferred from G 6 Multidiscify) G 16 Citigation all statutes unless diversity)	strict
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ĭ		mployee against railroad empl	loyer
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:		ON DEMAND \$ Excess of Jurisd. min.	JURY DEMAN	·, ·, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
VIII, RELATED CA IF ANY	(See institutions). JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE January 22, 2007	Leus W.	ALTHONEYOF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IF	pJUDGE	MAG. I	TUDGE

	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes ✓ No
If yes, give	the following information:	V NO
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	☐ Yes ✓ No
If yes, give	e the following information:	
Court:		
Case No.:	<u> </u>	
Judge:	<u></u>	
Notes :		